



# Title: Balloon Dilation of the Eustachian Tube

| Professional / Institutional          |
|---------------------------------------|
| Original Effective Date: June 3, 2021 |
| Latest Review Date: October 22, 2024  |
| Current Effective Date: June 3, 2021  |

State and Federal mandates and health plan member contract language, including specific provisions/exclusions, take precedence over Medical Policy and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage. To verify a member's benefits, contact <u>Blue Cross and Blue</u> <u>Shield of Kansas Customer Service.</u>

The BCBSKS Medical Policies contained herein are for informational purposes and apply only to members who have health insurance through BCBSKS or who are covered by a self-insured group plan administered by BCBSKS. Medical Policy for FEP members is subject to FEP medical policy which may differ from BCBSKS Medical Policy.

The medical policies do not constitute medical advice or medical care. Treating health care providers are independent contractors and are neither employees nor agents of Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas and are solely responsible for diagnosis, treatment and medical advice.

If your patient is covered under a different Blue Cross and Blue Shield plan, please refer to the Medical Policies of that plan.

| Populations   | Interventions  | Comparators  | Outcomes   |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Individuals:<br>• With chronic<br>eustachian tube<br>dilatory<br>dysfunction<br>despite medical<br>management | <ul><li>Interventions of interest are:</li><li>Balloon dilation of the eustachian tube</li></ul> | <ul> <li>Comparators of interest<br/>are:</li> <li>Continued medical<br/>management</li> <li>Mechanical pressure<br/>equalization device</li> <li>Tympanostomy</li> <li>Eustachian<br/>tuboplasty other than<br/>balloon dilation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Relevant outcomes<br/>include:</li> <li>Symptoms</li> <li>Change in disease<br/>status</li> <li>Quality of life</li> <li>Treatment-related<br/>morbidity</li> </ul> |  |  |

#### DESCRIPTION

Eustachian tube dysfunction (ETD) occurs when the functional valve of the eustachian tube fails to open and/or close properly. This failure is frequently due to inflammation and can cause symptoms such as muffled hearing, ear fullness, tinnitus, and vertigo. Chronic obstructive ETD can lead to hearing loss, otitis media, tympanic membrane perforation, and cholesteatomas. Balloon dilation of the eustachian tube (BDET) is a procedure intended to improve patency by inflating a balloon in the cartilaginous part of the eustachian tube to cause local dilation.

#### OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to determine whether balloon dilation of the eustachian tube improves the net health outcome in patients with chronic obstructive eustachian tube dysfunction.

## BACKGROUND

## **Eustachian Tube Function and Dysfunction**

The eustachian tube connects the middle ear space to the nasopharynx. It ventilates the middle ear space to equalize pressure across the tympanic membrane, clears mucociliary secretions, and protects the middle ear from infection and reflux of nasopharyngeal contents.<sup>1,</sup> Normally, the tube is closed or collapsed and opens during swallowing, sneezing or yawning. Eustachian tube dysfunction (ETD) occurs when the functional valve of the eustachian tube fails to open and/or close properly. This failure may be due to inflammation or anatomic abnormalities. Symptoms of chronic obstructive ETD can include aural fullness, aural pressure, hearing loss, and otalgia. In milder cases, ETD may only be apparent in situations of barochallenge (inability to equalize with rapid barometric pressure changes), with otherwise normal function in stable ambient conditions.<sup>2,</sup>

#### Diagnosis

Because the symptoms of ETD are nonspecific, clinical practice guidelines emphasize the importance of ruling out other causes of ETD with a comprehensive diagnostic assessment that includes patient-report questionnaires, history and physical exam, tympanometry, nasal endoscopy, and audiometry to establish a diagnosis.<sup>2</sup>,

## Medical and Surgical Management of Eustachian Tube Dysfunction

Medical management of ETD is directed by the underlying etiology. Treatment of identified underlying conditions, such as systemic decongestants, antihistamines, or nasal steroid sprays for allergic rhinitis; behavioral modifications and/or proton pump inhibitors for laryngopharyngeal reflux; or treatment of mass lesions, may be useful in resolving ETD.

Patients who continue to have symptoms following medical management may be treated with surgery such as myringotomy with the placement of tympanostomy tubes or eustachian tuboplasty. These procedures create an alternative route for ventilation of the middle ear space but do not address the functional problem at the eustachian tube. There is limited evidence and no randomized controlled trials (RCTs) supporting use of these surgical techniques for this indication.<sup>3,</sup> Additionally, surgery may be associated with adverse events such as infection,

perforation, and otorrhea. Tympanostomy tube placement may be a repeat procedure for the life of the patient, and the risk of complications from tympanostomy tubes increases with increasing numbers of tube placements and duration of tube placement.

#### **Balloon Dilation of the Eustachian Tube**

Balloon dilation is a tuboplasty procedure intended to improve the patency of the cartilaginous eustachian tube to cause local dilation. During the procedure, a saline-filled balloon catheter is introduced into the eustachian tube through the nose using a minimally invasive transnasal endoscopic method. Pressure is maintained for 2 minutes or less, after which the balloon is emptied and removed. The procedure is usually performed under general anesthesia.<sup>4,5,</sup>

Balloon dilation of the eustachian tube can be done as a standalone procedure or in conjunction with other procedures such as adenoidectomy, intranasal surgery (e.g. septoplasty, turbinate procedures or sinus surgery), surgery for obstructive sleep apnea or sleep disturbed breathing, and myringotomy with or without tympanostomy tube placement. This evidence review addresses balloon dilation of the eustachian tube as a standalone procedure.

## POLICY

- A. Balloon dilation of the eustachian tube (BDET) for treatment of chronic obstructive eustachian tube dysfunction may be considered **medically necessary** under the following conditions:
  - 1. Adults (age 22 years and older) with symptoms of obstructive eustachian tube dysfunction (aural fullness, aural pressure, otalgia, and/or hearing loss) for 12 months or longer in one or both ears that significantly affects quality of life or functional health status
    - a. Aural fullness and pressure must be present (see Policy Guidelines)

## AND

- The individual has undergone a comprehensive diagnostic assessment; including patient-reported questionnaires, history and physical exam, tympanometry if the tympanic membrane is intact, nasal endoscopy, and comprehensive audiometry, with the following findings:
  - a. Abnormal tympanogram (Type B or C)
  - b. Abnormal tympanic membrane (retracted membrane, effusion, perforation, or any other abnormality identified on exam)

## AND

3. Failure to respond to appropriate medical management of potential co-occurring conditions, if any, such as allergic rhinitis, rhinosinusitis, and laryngopharyngeal reflux, including 4-6 weeks of a nasal steroid spray, if indicated

#### AND

4. Other causes of aural fullness such as temporomandibular joint disorders, extrinsic obstruction of the eustachian tube, superior semicircular canal dehiscence, and endolymphatic hydrops have been ruled out.

## AND

5. If the individual had a history of tympanostomy tube placement, symptoms of obstructive eustachian tube dysfunction should have improved while tubes were patent

## AND

6. The individual does not have patulous eustachian tube dysfunction or another contraindication to the procedure (see Policy Guidelines)

#### AND

7. The individual's eustachian tube dysfunction has been shown to be reversible (see Policy Guidelines)

## AND

8. Symptoms are continuous rather than episodic (e.g., symptoms occur only in response to barochallenge such as pressure changes while flying)

## AND

9. The individual has not had a previous BDET procedure

B. Balloon dilation of the eustachian tube is considered **experimental / investigational** if the above criteria are not met.

## **POLICY GUIDELINES**

Symptoms of obstructive eustachian tube dysfunction may include aural fullness, aural pressure, otalgia, and hearing loss. Nearly all individuals will have aural fullness and aural pressure. Many individuals will have otalgia, but hearing loss may not be present in all individual s (e.g., individuals with Type C tympanograms).

## **Contraindications to Balloon Dilation of the Eustachian Tube**

The following individuals should not be considered for balloon dilation of the eustachian tube: A. Individuals with patulous eustachian tube dysfunction

- 1. A diagnosis of patulous ETD is suggested by symptoms of autophony of voice, audible respirations, pulsatile tinnitus, and/or aural fullness.
- B. Individuals with extrinsic reversible or irreversible causes of eustachian tube dysfunction including but not limited to:
  - 1. craniofacial syndromes, including cleft palate spectrum
  - 2. neoplasms causing extrinsic obstruction of the eustachian tube
  - 3. history of radiation therapy to the nasopharynx
  - 4. enlarged adenoid pads
  - 5. nasopharyngeal mass
  - 6. neuromuscular disorders that lead to hypotonia/ineffective eustachian tube dynamic opening
  - 7. systemic mucosal or autoimmune inflammatory disease affecting the mucosa of the nasopharynx and eustachian tube (e.g., Samter's triad, Wegener's disease, mucosal pemphigus) that is ongoing/active (i.e., not in remission)
- C. Individuals with aural fullness but normal exam and tympanogram
- D. Individuals with chronic and severe atelectatic ears

#### **Reversibility of Eustachian Tube Dysfunction**

- A. Reversibility of Eustachian Tube dysfunction can be demonstrated by several means, including any of the following:
  - 1. The individual states that they are able to relieve the pressure by performing a Valsalva maneuver to "pop" their ears
  - 2. Performing a Valsalva maneuver produces temporary improvement of the individual's tympanogram to Type A tympanogram
  - 3. Performing a Valsalva maneuver causes the member's middle ear to aerate, which is indicated by the provider visualizing lateral movement of the tympanic membrane on otoscopy

#### **Balloon Dilation of the Eustachian Tube Used in Combination with Other Procedures**

- A. Individuals undergoing BDET concurrent with sinus ostial dilation should meet the same diagnostic criteria for BDET as those undergoing BDET alone.
- B. Individuals with a middle ear effusion at the time of BDET may benefit from concurrent myringotomy with or without tympanostomy tube placement

# Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage of these services as it applies to an individual member.

#### RATIONALE

This evidence review has been updated regularly with searches of the PubMed database. The most recent literature search was conducted through August 1, 2024.

Evidence reviews assess the clinical evidence to determine whether the use of technology improves the net health outcome. Broadly defined, health outcomes are the length of life, quality of life, and ability to function, including benefits and harms. Every clinical condition has specific outcomes that are important to patients and managing the course of that condition. Validated outcome measures are necessary to ascertain whether a condition improves or worsens; and whether the magnitude of that change is clinically significant. The net health outcome is a balance of benefits and harms.

To assess whether the evidence is sufficient to draw conclusions about the net health outcome of technology, 2 domains are examined: the relevance, and quality and credibility. To be relevant, studies must represent 1 or more intended clinical use of the technology in the intended population and compare an effective and appropriate alternative at a comparable intensity. For some conditions, the alternative will be supportive care or surveillance. The quality and credibility of the evidence depend on study design and conduct, minimizing bias and confounding that can generate incorrect findings. The randomized controlled trial (RCT) is preferred to assess efficacy; however, in some circumstances, nonrandomized studies may be adequate. Randomized controlled trials are rarely large enough or long enough to capture less common adverse events and long-term effects. Other types of studies can be used for these purposes and to assess generalizability to broader clinical populations and settings of clinical practice.

#### BALLOON DILATION FOR CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE EUSTACHIAN TUBE DYSFUNCTION

#### **Clinical Context and Therapy Purpose**

The purpose of balloon dilation of the eustachian tube (BDET) is to provide a treatment option that is an alternative to or an improvement on existing therapies in individuals with chronic obstructive eustachian tube dysfunction (ETD) despite medical management.

The following PICO was used to select literature to inform this review.

#### **Populations**

The relevant population of interest is individuals with chronic obstructive ETD despite medical management.

Eustachian tube dysfunction occurs when the functional valve of the eustachian tube fails to open and/or close properly, frequently due to inflammation. Symptoms may include ear fullness, recurrent barochallenge (difficulty clearing the ears with changes in ambient pressure), hearing loss, otalgia, and tinnitus.

## Interventions

The therapy being considered is BDET.

Balloon dilation of the eustachian tube is a procedure intended to improve the patency by inflating a balloon in the cartilaginous part of the eustachian tube to cause local dilation. During the procedure, a saline-filled balloon catheter is introduced into the eustachian tube through the nose using a minimally invasive transnasal endoscopic method. Pressure is maintained for 2 minutes or less after which the balloon is emptied and removed. The procedure is usually performed under general anesthesia.

## Comparators

Medical management of ETD is directed by the underlying etiology: treatment of viral or bacterial rhinosinusitis; systemic decongestants, antihistamines, or nasal steroid sprays for allergic rhinitis; behavioral modifications and/or proton pump inhibitors for laryngopharyngeal reflux; and treatment of mass lesions. Treating underlying conditions, if identified, may be useful in resolving ETD. Patients who continue to have symptoms following medical management may be treated with surgery such as myringotomy with the placement of tympanostomy tubes, methods of eustachian tube dilation other than balloon dilation, or mechanical pressure equalization devices.

## Outcomes

The general outcomes of interest are symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Specific outcome measures are described in Table 2. Initial follow up examinations are typically done at 4 to 6 weeks to judge early efficacy. Follow-up should be at least 1 year to appropriately establish a clinically meaningful improvement.

| Outcome<br>Measure   | Description  | MCID, if known  |
|--|--|---|
| Eustachian<br>Tube<br>Dysfunction<br>Questionnaire<br>(ETDQ-7) | Validated, standardized, 7-item patient-reported<br>questionnaire to assess symptom severity associated<br>with ETD.<br>Pressure, pain, feeling clogged, cold/sinusitis<br>problems, crackling/popping, ringing, and muffled<br>hearing.<br>Patients rate the severity of 7 symptoms on a scale<br>ranging from 1 (no problem) to 7 (severe problem).<br>Dividing the total score by 7 yields the mean item<br>score.<br>A total score of $\geq$ 14.5 and mean item score of $\geq$ 2.1<br>indicate ETD<br>Scores in the range of 1 to 2 indicate no to mild<br>symptoms, 3 to 5 moderate symptoms, and 6 to 7<br>severe symptoms. | 0.5 point improvement<br>Normalization is defined as a<br>mean item score <2.1 or a total<br>score <14.5  |
| Valsava<br>maneuver  | Patient breathes out while closing the nose and mouth to direct air to the eustachian tube and help them open.   | Positive (ability to perform the<br>maneuver when needed)<br>Negative (unable to perform the<br>maneuver) |

| Table 2. | Outcome | Assessment of | Chronic | Obstructive | <b>Eustachian</b> | Tube Dy | ysfunction |
|----------|---------|---------------|---------|-------------|-------------------|---------|------------|
|----------|---------|---------------|---------|-------------|-------------------|---------|------------|

| Outcome<br>Measure   | Description   | MCID, if known           |
|----------------------|---|--------------------------|
|                      | Modified: gentle nose blow with simultaneous swallow  |                          |
| Tympanometry         | Measures the mobility of the tympanic membrane and<br>graphically displays results in tympanograms.<br>Tympanograms are classified by the height and<br>location of the tympanometric peak.<br>Type A indicates normal middle ear and eustachian<br>tube function; type B indicates poor tympanic<br>membrane mobility ("flat" tympanogram), and type C<br>indicates the presence of negative middle ear<br>pressure. | Type A (normal)          |
| Otoscopy<br>findings | Visual examination of the tympanic membrane using<br>an otoscope.<br>Classifies tympanic membrane as abnormal (retracted<br>membrane, effusion, perforation, or any other<br>abnormality identified on exam) or normal  | Normal tympanic membrane |

ETD: eustachian tube dysfunction; MCID: minimal clinically important difference.

## **Study Selection Criteria**

Methodologically credible studies were selected using the following principles:

- To assess efficacy outcomes, comparative controlled prospective trials were sought, with a preference for RCTs;
- In the absence of such trials, comparative observational studies were sought, with a preference for prospective studies.
- To assess long-term outcomes and adverse events, single-arm studies that capture longer periods of follow-up and/or larger populations were sought.
- Studies with duplicative or overlapping populations were excluded.

## **REVIEW OF EVIDENCE**

#### **Systematic Reviews**

Froehlich et al (2020) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of balloon dilation for ETD (Tables 3 and 4).<sup>6,</sup> Twelve studies were included in the meta-analysis, including 3 RCTs, 5 prospective observational studies, and 4 case series. One RCT (Liang et al 2016) that compared balloon dilation to tympanic paracentesis reported tympanometry and otoscopy scores but not symptoms. The other 2 RCTs compared balloon dilation plus medical management to medical management alone and used the ETDQ-7 to measure symptoms. Table 3 summarizes results at 6 weeks. Pooled analyses showed improvements in subjective and objective measures including ETDQ-7 scores, tympanograms, otoscopy exams, and ability to perform a Valsalva maneuver. Improvements appeared to be maintained in studies with longer-term follow up (3 to 12 months).

Aboueisha and colleagues (2022) published a meta-analysis of balloon dilation for eustachian tube dysfunction (BDET) in children.<sup>7,</sup> The authors searched PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, Cochrane, Clinicaltrials.gov, and Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature

(CINAHL) databases and identified 7 studies that examined the safety and efficacy of BDET in pediatric patients from database inception to March 2021. The evidence base encompassed 6 retrospective cohort studies and 1 prospective cohort study with a matched retrospective control group. Among these studies, 4 were designed as single-arm investigations, while 3 studies compared the outcomes of BDET with ventilation tube insertion (VT). Utilizing the methodological index for non-randomized studies (MINORS) criteria, two reviewers evaluated the potential bias in the included studies. The overall quality assessment revealed a moderate quality level, with the comparative studies achieving an average score of 17.3 and the non-comparative studies achieving 10.6.

The pooled studies included a total of 408 children, averaging 9.9 years of age, with an average follow-up period of 19.2 months. In almost all cases (except for one study where data was not available on pre-treatment), patients had a history of prior surgeries, including VT plus adenoidectomy or VT alone. Aggregating data from all 7 studies, the pooled complications exhibited an incidence rate of 5.1% (95% confidence interval [CI], 3.1 to 8.4), with self-limited epistaxis being the most frequently reported complication. Following BDET, the proportion of patients with Type A tympanogram increased from 15.1% to 73.6% (95% CI, 58% to 84.9%) and the number of patients with Type B tympanogram decreased from 64.2% in the preoperative period to 16.1% (95% CI, 8.5 to 28.4) post-operatively pooling data from 5 studies. All pooled post-operative outcomes had high heterogeneity with the exception of complication rate, which had a low level of heterogeneity. In the 3 studies that compared BDET to VT, a significant difference in the rate of failure (need for reoperation, persistent type B tympanogram, or persistence of symptoms) was observed, favoring the BDET group (OR, 0.24; 95% CI, 0.1 to 0.4;  $I^2$ , 80.9%) however high heterogeneity was observed across the 3 studies pooled for this estimate.

Several earlier systematic reviews of observational studies have been published. Case series included in these reviews consistently reported that patients experienced improvement when comparing symptoms before and after balloon dilation. The studies varied in the type of medical management used to treat ETD before and after balloon dilation.

| Study                                      | Search<br>End<br>Date | Included Studies   | Participants       | N<br>(range)  | Study Designs  | Duration                |
|--|-----------------------|--|--------------------|---|--|-------------------------|
| Froehlich<br>et al<br>(2020) <sup>6,</sup> | January<br>2019       | 35 total,12 included<br>in quantitative<br>meta-analysis | Adults with<br>ETD | 448<br>patients (2<br>to 202)<br>445 ears<br>(2 to 234) | 3 RCTs, 5 prospective<br>observational, 4 case<br>series | 6 weeks to<br>12 months |

## Table 3. Systematic Review Characteristics

ETD: eustachian tube dysfunction; RCTs: randomized controlled trials.

| Study   | ETDQ-7<br>Normalizati<br>on<br>(Proportion<br>with score<br><2.1) | ETDQ-7<br>Mean<br>Score   | Valsalva<br>Maneuver<br>(Proportio<br>n able to<br>perform) | Tympanomet<br>ry<br>Normalizatio<br>n<br>(Proportion<br>with Type A) <sup>1</sup> | Tympanomet<br>ry<br>Improvement<br>(Proportion<br>with change<br>from Type B<br>to Type A or<br>from Type C<br>to Type B) <sup>1</sup> | Otoscopy<br>Findings<br>(Proportio<br>n with a<br>normal<br>finding) |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| N<br>studies/patien<br>ts<br>Study designs    | 2/245<br>RCTs   | 3/2261<br>RCT,<br>1<br>prospective<br>observation<br>al, 1 case<br>series | 6/436 ears<br>RCTs  | 12/606 ears<br>RCTs,<br>prospective<br>observational,<br>case series              | 4/287 ears   | 7/252 ears   |
| Baseline%<br>(95% CI)                         | NA  | NR  | 13.2%<br>(0.7 to<br>37.5)                                   | 13.9%<br>(1.5 to 35.6)  | NA   | 22.1%<br>(2.0 to<br>55.0)  |
| 6 weeks<br>% (95% CI)                         | 53.5%<br>(47.0, 59.8)   | NR  | 71.2%<br>(58.8 to<br>82.1)                                  | 58.9%<br>(40.4 to 76.2)   | 53.0%<br>(29.1 to 76.2)  | 53.8%<br>(31.1 to<br>75.7)   |
| Pooled<br>Difference<br>Pre-Post<br>(95% CI): | NA  | -2.13<br>(-3.02 to -<br>1.24);<br>p.0004                                  | 58.0%<br>(52.0 to<br>63.3);<br>p<.001                       | 45.0%<br>(39.9 to 49.8);<br>p<.0001   | NA   | 31.7%<br>(22.5 to<br>40.4),<br>p<.0001                               |
| ₽ (p value)                                   | NR  | 87%<br>(.0004)  | NR  | NR  | NR   | NR   |

**Table 4. Systematic Review Results** 

<sup>1</sup>Type A indicates normal middle ear and ET function; type B indicates poor tympanic membrane mobility ("flat" tympanogram), and type C indicates the presence of negative middle ear pressure.

CI: confidence interval; ETDQ-7: 7-item Eustachian Tube Dysfunction Questionnaire; N: sample size; NA: not applicable; NR: not reported; RCT: randomized controlled trial.

## **Randomized Controlled Trials**

Two randomized controlled trials have evaluated BDET for obstructive ETD (Tables 5 to 7).<sup>8,9,</sup> Both compared BDET plus medical management to medical management alone for 6 weeks. Following the 6-week follow-up period, patients who were randomized to medical management alone could elect to receive BDET and were followed up to 52 weeks in an extension phase.

The balloon catheter used in Poe et al (2017) was a custom-designed eustachian tube balloon catheter (ETBC) (Acclarent). Eligible patients had persistent patient-reported symptoms of ETD (ETDQ-7 mean item score  $\geq$ 2.1) and abnormal tympanometry (type B or type C), and failed medical management including either a minimum of 4 weeks of daily use of an intranasal steroid spray or a minimum of 1 course of an oral steroid.<sup>8</sup>, Each investigator was required to perform 3 successful balloon dilation procedures in nonrandomized "lead-in" patients who were then

followed for durability and safety outcomes. Randomization and analyses were performed at the person-level whether or not the patient had unilateral or bilateral ETD. The primary efficacy outcome (normalization of tympanometry) was assessed by both site investigators and a blinded, independent evaluator; discrepancies were resolved by a second independent evaluator. For bilaterally treated patients, both ears had to be rated as normalized for that patient to be considered normalized for the primary outcome.

Anand et al (2019) reported 52-week data on 128 patients who received a ETBC, including those randomized to the intervention and those who crossed over following the 6-week randomized phase.<sup>10,</sup> Of 128 patients with normalized tympanogram at 6 weeks, 71 remained normalized at 52 weeks and 71 of 124 had normalized scores on the ETDQ. Some ears failed to normalize at earlier visits but converted at subsequent follow-up visits. Overall, 119 of 187 (63.6%) ears had type A tympanograms at 52 weeks, either remaining normal throughout the study or converting to normal. There were no device- or procedure-related serious adverse events during the 52-week follow-up period.

Meyer et al (2018) conducted a RCT evaluating BDET versus continued medical therapy for treating 60 participants with persistent ETD. The primary efficacy outcomes were symptoms as measured by the ETDQ-7 score and the primary safety outcome was rate of complications.<sup>9,</sup> Mean (standard deviation) change in overall ETDQ-7 score at 6 weeks was 2.9 (1.4) for balloon dilation compared with 0.6 (1.0) for medical management: balloon dilation was superior to medical management (p<.0001). No complications were reported in either study arm. Among participants with abnormal baseline assessments, improvements in tympanogram type (p<.006) and tympanic membrane position (p<.001) were significantly better for balloon dilation than control. Improvements in the ETDO-7 scores were maintained through 12 months after balloon dilation. Cutler et al (2019) reported longer-term follow-up data from this trial.<sup>11,</sup> Of 58 patients from the original study who were eligible for the extension study, 47 were enrolled (81.0%) The mean follow-up time was 29.4 months post-procedure (range 18 to 42 months). Changes from baseline at the end of the longer-term follow-up period were similar to improvements observed at 1 year on outcome measures including the ETDQ-7, normalized tympanogram, ability to perform the Valsalva maneuver, and patients' satisfaction with the outcome of the procedure. One patient underwent a revision eustachian tube dilation after 362 days, performed concurrently with balloon dilation for recurrent sinus disease. No other surgeries or adverse events were reported.

Study limitations are summarized in Tables 8 and 9. Limitations included a lack of blinding, which could bias reports of patient-reported symptoms, and short (6-week) comparative follow-up period.

| Study name (NCT<br>Number)Publicati<br>ons  | Countri<br>es     | Date<br>s         | Key Eligibility<br>Criteria   | Outcome<br>Measures<br>and<br>Duration<br>of Follow-<br>up   | Interventio<br>n  | Comparato<br>r   |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|---|--|---|--|
| The Study of Safety<br>and Efficacy for the<br>Eustachian Tube<br>Balloon Catheter<br>(NCT02087150)Poe<br>et al<br>(2017) <sup>8</sup> ,;NCT020871<br>50 <sup>10,</sup> | U.S., 21<br>sites | 2014<br>-<br>2016 | Inclusion: 22 years or<br>older, persistent ETD,<br>failure of medical<br>management, positive<br>diagnosis of ETD<br>Exclusion:<br>• Anatomy that<br>requires an<br>adjunctive<br>surgical<br>procedure<br>• Concomitant<br>nasal or sinus<br>procedures<br>planned on the<br>same day as<br>surgical<br>procedure<br>• Concomitant<br>ear procedures<br>planned on the<br>same day as<br>surgical<br>procedure<br>• Concomitant<br>ear procedures<br>planned on the<br>same day as<br>surgical<br>procedure<br>• History of<br>major surgery<br>of the head or<br>neck within 4<br>months prior<br>to surgery<br>• History of<br>patulous<br>eustachian<br>tube<br>• History of<br>fluctuating<br>sensorineural<br>hearing loss<br>• Active acute<br>otitis media | Primary:<br>Tympanogr<br>am<br>normalizati<br>on (Type A)<br>in all<br>indicated<br>ears at 6<br>weeks.<br>Secondary:<br>Improveme<br>nt of 0.5<br>points on<br>ETDQ-7 at<br>6 weeks.<br>Exploratory<br>:<br>Tympanogr<br>am<br>normalizati<br>on (Type A)<br>at 12, 24,<br>and 52<br>weeks<br>ETDQ-7<br>Improveme<br>nt at 12,<br>24, 52<br>weeks<br>Work and<br>activity<br>impairment<br>at 6, 12,<br>24, 52<br>weeks | BDET plus<br>medical<br>management<br>(daily nasal<br>steroid spray<br>for 6 weeks)<br>162 patients<br>(234 ears) | Medical<br>management<br>alone (daily<br>nasal steroid<br>spray for 6<br>weeks)<br>80 patients<br>(117 ears) |

Table 5. Randomized Controlled Trials of Balloon Dilation of the Eustachian Tube:Study Characteristics

| Study name (NCT<br>Number)Publicati<br>ons   | Countri<br>es    | Date<br>s         | Key Eligibility<br>Criteria   | Outcome<br>Measures<br>and<br>Duration<br>of Follow-<br>up   | Interventio<br>n             | Comparato<br>r   |
|--|------------------|-------------------|---|--|------------------------------|--|
|  |                  |                   | <ul> <li>Tympanic<br/>membrane<br/>perforation</li> <li>Tympanosclero<br/>sis</li> <li>Acute upper<br/>respiratory<br/>infection</li> <li>Temporomandi<br/>bular joint<br/>disorder</li> <li>Cleft palate</li> <li>Craniofacial<br/>syndrome</li> <li>Cystic fibrosis</li> <li>Ciliary<br/>dysmotility<br/>syndrome</li> <li>Systemic<br/>mucosal or<br/>immunodeficie<br/>ncy disease</li> <li>Intolerance of<br/>medication for<br/>ETD</li> <li>Prior<br/>intervention of<br/>eustachian<br/>tube</li> </ul> |  |                              |  |
| XprESS Eustachian<br>Tube Dilation<br>StudyNCT02391584<br>Meyer et al<br>(2018) <sup>9,11,</sup> | U.S., 5<br>sites | 2015<br>-<br>2017 | Inclusion:18 years or<br>older, diagnosed with<br>symptoms of chronic<br>ETD for at least 12<br>months, ETDQ-7 score<br>≥3.0, record of failed<br>medical management<br>Exclusion:<br>• Require<br>concomitant<br>procedures at<br>the time of the<br>study   | Primary:<br>Mean<br>change in<br>overall<br>ETDQ-7 at<br>6 weeks,<br>complicatio<br>n rate<br>through 6<br>months<br>post-<br>procedure<br>Secondary:<br>technical | BDET<br>• 31<br>patie<br>nts | Continued<br>medical<br>management<br>• 29<br>patie<br>nts |

| Study name (NCT<br>Number)Publicati<br>ons | Countri<br>es | Date<br>s | Key Eligibility<br>Criteria   | Outcome<br>Measures<br>and<br>Duration<br>of Follow-<br>up   | Interventio<br>n | Comparato<br>r |
|--|---------------|-----------|---|--|------------------|----------------|
|  |               |           | <ul> <li>enrollment or<br/>procedure</li> <li>Have patulous<br/>eustachian<br/>tube</li> <li>Have ear tubes<br/>in place or<br/>perforation of<br/>the tympanic<br/>membrane</li> <li>Have evidence<br/>of internal<br/>carotid artery<br/>dehiscence</li> <li>Be pregnant at<br/>the time of<br/>enrollment</li> <li>Be currently<br/>participating in<br/>other drug or<br/>device studies</li> </ul> | success<br>rate,<br>revision<br>rate at 12<br>months,<br>mean<br>change in<br>ETDQ-7 at<br>3 months,<br>6 months<br>and 12<br>months |                  |                |

BDET: balloon dilation of the eustachian tube; ETDQ-7: Eustachian Tube Dysfunction Questionnaire; ETD: eustachian tube dysfunction; NCT: National Clinical Trial.

| Table 6 | . Randomized | Controlled | <b>Trials of</b> | Balloon | Dilation | of the E | ustachian | Tube: |
|---------|--------------|------------|------------------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| Results | at 6 Weeks   |            |                  |         |          |          |           |       |

| Study name<br>(NCT Number)<br>Publications   | ETDQ-7<br>Normalizatio<br>n (Score<br><2.1) | ETDQ-<br>7 Mean<br>Chang<br>e | Valsalva<br>Maneuve<br>r Positive | Normalized<br>Tympanogra<br>m (Type A) | Otoscopy<br>Results<br>(Tympanic<br>Membran<br>e position<br>normal) | Adverse<br>Events              |
|--|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|
| The Study of<br>Safety and Efficacy<br>for the Eustachian<br>Tube Balloon<br>Catheter<br>(NCT02087150)Po<br>e et al (2017)<br><sup>8,</sup> ;NCT02087150 |   |                               |                                   |  |  |                                |
| BDET plus medical management   | 77/137<br>(56.2%)                           |                               | 32.8%<br>increase in              | 72/139<br>(51.8%)                      | Not<br>assessed  | 4 serious<br>adverse<br>events |

Current Procedural Terminology © American Medical Association. All Rights Reserved.

Blue Cross and Blue Shield Kansas is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross Blue Shield Association

| Study name<br>(NCT Number)<br>Publications  | ETDQ-7<br>Normalizatio<br>n (Score<br><2.1) | ETDQ-<br>7 Mean<br>Chang<br>e | Valsalva<br>Maneuve<br>r Positive        | Normalized<br>Tympanogra<br>m (Type A) | Otoscopy<br>Results<br>(Tympanic<br>Membran<br>e position<br>normal) | Adverse<br>Events   |
|---|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|---|
|   |   |                               | number of<br>ears                        |  |  | No device- or<br>procedure-<br>related<br>serious<br>adverse<br>events                        |
| Medical<br>management alone   | 6/71<br>(8.5%)                              |                               | 3.1%<br>increase in<br>number of<br>ears | 10/72<br>(13.9%)                       |  | 1 serious<br>adverse<br>event<br>No<br>medication-<br>related<br>serious<br>adverse<br>events |
| p value   | <.001                                       |                               | <.001                                    | <.0001                                 |  |   |
| XprESS Eustachian<br>Tube Dilation<br>Study<br>NCT02391584<br>Meyer et al<br>(2018) <sup>9,</sup> |   |                               |  |  |  |   |
| BDET plus medical management  |   | -2.9<br>(1.4)                 | 8/17<br>(47.1%)                          | 8/14<br>(57.1%)                        | 10/15<br>(66.7%)   | No<br>complication<br>s   |
| Medical<br>management alone   |   | -0.6<br>(1.0)                 | 2/14<br>(1.3%)                           | 1/10<br>(10.0%)                        | 0/12<br>(0.0%)   | No<br>complication<br>s   |
| p value   |   | <.0001                        | .068                                     | .006                                   | .001   |   |

BDET: balloon dilation of the eustachian tube; ETDQ-7: Eustachian Tube Dysfunction Questionnaire; NCT: National Clinical Trial.

| Table 7. Randomized Controlled Trials         | of Balloon Dilation of Eustachian Tube- |
|---|---|
| <b>Uncontrolled Extension Phase Results (</b> | (52 weeks)                              |

| Study name (NCT<br>Number)Publications   | ETDQ-7<br>Normalizatio<br>n (Score<br><2.1) at 52<br>Weeks | ETDQ-<br>7<br>Mean<br>Chang<br>e             | Valsalva<br>Maneuve<br>r<br>Positive<br>at 52<br>Weeks | Normalized<br>Tympanogra<br>m (Type A)<br>at 52 weeks      | Otoscopy<br>Results<br>(Tympani<br>c<br>Membran<br>e position<br>normal) | Adverse<br>Events   |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| The Study of Safety<br>and Efficacy for the<br>Eustachian Tube<br>Balloon Catheter<br>(NCT02087150) <sup>10,</sup> |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| Number analyzed  | 124  |  | 230 (Ears)   | 128 (187 ears)   |  | 219   |
| BDET plus medical<br>management  | 71/124<br>(57.3%)  |  | Ears:<br>185/230<br>(80,4%)                            | Patients:<br>71/128<br>(55.5%)<br>Ears: 119/187<br>(63.6%) | Not<br>assessed  | No device-<br>or<br>procedure-<br>related<br>serious<br>adverse<br>events<br>Two<br>occurrences<br>of patulous<br>eustachian<br>tube, both<br>described as<br>mild. |
| XprESS Eustachian<br>Tube Dilation<br>StudyNCT02391584Mey<br>er et al (2018) <sup>9,11,</sup>                      |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| Ν  |  | 49   | 47   | 80   | 49   | 49  |
| BDET plus medical management   |  | 2.1 (SD<br>reporte<br>d in<br>graph<br>only) | 31/47<br>(66.0%)                                       | 70/80 (87.5%)  | 42/49<br>(85.7%)   | No<br>complication<br>s   |

BDET: balloon dilation of the eustachian tube; ETDQ-7: Eustachian Tube Dysfunction Questionnaire; NCT: National Clinical Trial.

| Study                                  | Population   | Intervention | Comparator | Outcomes   | Follow-Up   |
|--|--|--------------|------------|--|---|
| Poe et al<br>(2017) <sup>8,</sup>      |  |              |            | <ol> <li>Limited<br/>information<br/>on harms<br/>provided in<br/>the<br/>primary<br/>publication<br/>vs. FDA<br/>dossier</li> </ol> | <ol> <li>Only 6<br/>weeks of<br/>comparative<br/>data; longer<br/>follow-up of<br/>BDET to 52<br/>weeks in<br/>subset of<br/>patients.</li> </ol> |
| Meyer et<br>al<br>(2018) <sup>9,</sup> | <ol> <li>Study<br/>enrollment<br/>criteria did<br/>not require<br/>abnormal<br/>middle ear<br/>functional<br/>assessments</li> </ol> | 2.           |            |  | <ol> <li>Comparative<br/>outcomes<br/>limited to 6<br/>weeks;<br/>longer<br/>follow-up of<br/>BDET in<br/>subset of<br/>patients.</li> </ol>      |

 Table 8. Randomized Controlled Trials: Study Relevance Limitations

BDET: balloon dilation of the eustachian tube; FDA: Food and Drug Administration.

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

<sup>a</sup> Population key: 1. Intended use population unclear; 2. Clinical context is unclear; 3. Study population is unclear; 4. Study population not representative of intended use.

<sup>b</sup> Intervention key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Version used unclear; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as comparator; 4.Not the intervention of interest.

<sup>c</sup> Comparator key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Not standard or optimal; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as intervention; 4. Not delivered effectively.

<sup>d</sup> Outcomes key: 1. Key health outcomes not addressed; 2. Physiologic measures, not validated surrogates; 3. No CONSORT reporting of harms; 4. Not establish and validated measurements; 5. Clinical significant difference not prespecified; 6. Clinical significant difference not supported.

<sup>e</sup> Follow-Up key: 1. Not sufficient duration for benefit; 2. Not sufficient duration for harms.

| Study                                  | Allocation | Blinding   | Selective<br>Reporting | Follow-Up | Power | Statistical   |
|--|------------|--|------------------------|-----------|-------|---|
| Poe et al<br>(2017) <sup>8,</sup>      |            | <ol> <li>Blinding<br/>of<br/>patients<br/>not<br/>possible;<br/>may bias<br/>patient-<br/>reported<br/>measures</li> </ol> | 2.                     |           |       | <ol> <li>Treatment<br/>effects and<br/>CIs not<br/>reported.</li> </ol> |
| Meyer et<br>al<br>(2018) <sup>9,</sup> |            | <ol> <li>Blinding<br/>of<br/>patients</li> </ol>   | 2.                     |           |       |   |

Table 9. Randomized Controlled Trials: Study Design and Conduct Limitations

| Study | Allocation | Blinding   | Selective<br>Reporting | Follow-Up | Power | Statistical |
|-------|------------|--|------------------------|-----------|-------|-------------|
|       |            | not<br>possible;<br>may bias<br>patient-<br>reported<br>measures |                        |           |       |             |

CI: confidence interval.

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

<sup>a</sup> Allocation key: 1. Participants not randomly allocated; 2. Allocation not concealed; 3. Allocation concealment unclear; 4. Inadequate control for selection bias.

<sup>b</sup> Blinding key: 1. Not blinded to treatment assignment; 2. Not blinded outcome assessment; 3. Outcome assessed by treating physician.

<sup>c</sup> Selective Reporting key: 1. Not registered; 2. Evidence of selective reporting; 3. Evidence of selective publication. <sup>d</sup> Data Completeness key: 1. High loss to follow-up or missing data; 2. Inadequate handling of missing data; 3. High number of crossovers; 4. Inadequate handling of crossovers; 5. Inappropriate exclusions; 6. Not intent to treat analysis (per protocol for noninferiority trials).

<sup>e</sup> Power key: 1. Power calculations not reported; 2. Power not calculated for primary outcome; 3. Power not based on clinically important difference.

<sup>f</sup> Statistical key: 1. Analysis is not appropriate for outcome type: (a) continuous; (b) binary; (c) time to event; 2. Analysis is not appropriate for multiple observations per patient; 3. Confidence intervals and/or p values not reported; 4. Comparative treatment effects not calculated.

#### **Supplemental Information**

The purpose of the following information is to provide reference material. Inclusion does not imply endorsement or alignment with the evidence review conclusions.

#### **Clinical Input from Physician Specialty Societies and Academic Medical Centers**

While the various physician specialty societies and academic medical centers may collaborate with and make recommendations during this process, through the provision of appropriate reviewers, input received does not represent an endorsement or position statement by the physician specialty societies or academic medical centers, unless otherwise noted.

#### 2020 Input

Clinical input was sought to help determine whether the use of balloon dilation of the eustachian tube (BDET) for individuals with chronic obstructive eustachian tube dysfunction (ETD) despite medical management would provide a clinically meaningful improvement in net health outcome and whether the use is consistent with generally accepted medical practice. In response to requests, clinical input was received from 4 respondents, including 1 specialty society-level response including physicians with academic medical center affiliation and 3 physician-level responses affiliated with an academic medical center, identified by BCBSA.

For individuals who have obstructive ETD who receive BDET, clinical input supports this use provides a clinically meaningful improvement in net health outcome and indicates this use is consistent with generally accepted medical practice in a subgroup of appropriately selected patients using the following criteria:

• Obstructive ETD for 3 months or longer in 1 or both ears that significantly affects quality of life or functional health status;

- The patient has undergone a comprehensive diagnostic assessment; including history and physical exam, tympanometry if the tympanic membrane is intact, nasopharyngoscopy, and comprehensive audiometry; and
- Failure to respond to appropriate medical management of potential co-occurring conditions, if any, such as allergic rhinitis, rhinosinusitis, and laryngopharyngeal reflux, including 4 to 6 weeks of a nasal steroid spray, if indicated.

## **Practice Guidelines and Position Statements**

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

#### American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery Foundation

In 2019, the American Academy of Otolaryngology published a clinical consensus statement on BDET.<sup>2,</sup> The target population was defined as adults  $\geq$ 18 years who are candidates for BDET because of obstructive ETD in 1 or both ears for 3 months or longer that significantly affects quality of life or functional health status. The expert panel concluded:

- BDET is an option for treatment of patients with obstructive ETD.
- The diagnosis of obstructive ETD should not be made without a comprehensive and multifaceted assessment, including otoscopy, audiometry, and nasal endoscopy.
- BDET is contraindicated for patients diagnosed as having a patulous ETD
- Further study will be needed to refine patient selection and outcome assessment.

The authors emphasized the importance of identifying other potentially treatable causes of ETD, including allergic rhinitis, rhinosinusitis, and laryngopharyngeal reflux, and noted that medical management of these disorders is indicated prior to offering BDET. They also noted that potential risks of BDET that are relevant to patient counseling include bleeding, scarring, infection, development of patulous ETD, and/or the need for additional procedures.

#### National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

In 2019, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) published updated guidance on BDET.<sup>12,</sup> The guidance was based on a rapid review of the evidence,<sup>13,</sup> and stated, "Evidence on the safety and efficacy of balloon dilation for eustachian tube dysfunction is adequate to support the use of this procedure provided that standard arrangements are in place for clinical governance, consent and audit." NICE standard arrangements recommendations mean that there is enough evidence for doctors to consider the procedure as an option. The guidance also noted:

- The procedure was not effective in all patients, and there was little evidence on the benefit of repeat procedures.
- The procedure is only indicated for chronic ETD refractory to medical treatment.

#### **U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations**

Not applicable.

## **Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials**

Some currently ongoing and unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 10.

| NCT No.      | Trial Name   | Planned<br>Enrollment | Completion<br>Date   |
|--------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| Ongoing      |  |                       |  |
| NCT05719207  | Efficacy of Balloon Dilation of the Eustachian Tube in Eustachian Tube Dilatory Dysfunction  | 76                    | Dec 2024   |
| NCT05998356  | Long-term Assessment of Balloon Eustachian<br>Tuboplasty for Obstructive Eustachian Tube Disease:<br>A Multicenter Single-blinded Randomized Controlled<br>Study | 96                    | Jan 2027   |
| Unpublished  |  |                       |  |
| NCT03499015  | Balloon Dilation of the Eustachian Tube in Children: a<br>Randomized Side-controlled Clinical Trial  | 50                    | Oct 2020<br>(recruitment<br>status<br>unknown; last<br>update Nov<br>2018)   |
| NCT04136977ª | XprESS Eustachian Tube Balloon Dilation Registry   | 169                   | Aug 2020<br>(completed;<br>results<br>submitted July<br>21, 2021, but<br>quality control<br>review<br>process not<br>yet<br>concluded) |
| NCT03886740  | Tympanostomy Tubes Versus Eustachian<br>Tube Dilation  | 32                    | Aug 2021 (<br>withdrawn,<br>difficulty<br>enrolling)   |
| NCT05270031  | Balloon Dilation of the Eustachian Tube  | 58                    | Feb 2026<br>(terminated,<br>lack of<br>funding)  |

NCT: national clinical trial.

<sup>a</sup> Denotes industry-sponsored or cosponsored trial.

#### CODING

The following codes for treatment and procedures applicable to this policy are included below for informational purposes. This may not be a comprehensive list of procedure codes applicable to this policy.

Inclusion or exclusion of a procedure, diagnosis or device code(s) does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage of these services as it applies to an individual member.

The code(s) listed below are medically necessary ONLY if the procedure is performed according to the "Policy" section of this document.

| CPT/HCPCS |  |  |  |
|-----------|--|--|--|
| 69705     | Surgical nasopharyngoscopy with dilation of eustachian tube unilateral |  |  |
| 69706     | Surgical nasopharyngoscopy with dilation of eustachian tube bilateral  |  |  |

| REVISIONS  |   |
|------------|---|
| 06-03-2021 | Policy added to the bcbsks.com web site.  |
| 11-5-2021  | Updated Description Section   |
|            | Updated Rationale Section   |
|            | Updated Reference Section   |
| 11-9-2022  | Updated Description Section   |
|            | Updated Policy Section  |
|            | <ul> <li>Reformatted with A1a format</li> </ul>   |
|            | Updated Rationale Section   |
|            | Updated Coding Section  |
|            | <ul> <li>Removed: C9745 (deleted code) and 69799</li> </ul>                                       |
|            | <ul> <li>Converted ICD-10 codes to the following ranges to include all codes in ranges</li> </ul> |
|            | Н65.00-Н65.93, Н66.001-Н66.93, Н67.1-Н67.9, Н68.001-Н68.029, Н69.80-                              |
|            | H69.93 H71.00-H71.93, H72.00-H72.93, H81.311-H81.49, H90.0-H90.A32,                               |
|            | H91.01-H91.93   |
|            | Removed: J30.0, J30.1, J30.2, J30.5, J30.81, J30.89, J30.9, J31.0, J31.1, J31.2                   |
|            | Updated References Section  |
| 10-24-2023 | Updated Description Section   |
|            | Updated Rationale Section   |
|            | Updated Coding Section  |
|            | Removed ICD-10 Codes  |
|            | Updated References Section  |
| 10-22-2024 | Updated Description Section   |
|            | Updated Rationale Section   |
|            | Updated References Section  |

## REFERENCES

- Schilder AG, Bhutta MF, Butler CC, et al. Eustachian tube dysfunction: consensus statement on definition, types, clinical presentation and diagnosis. Clin Otolaryngol. Oct 2015; 40(5): 407-11. PMID 26347263
- Tucci DL, McCoul ED, Rosenfeld RM, et al. Clinical Consensus Statement: Balloon Dilation of the Eustachian Tube. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. Jul 2019; 161(1): 6-17. PMID 31161864
- 3. Norman G, Llewellyn A, Harden M, et al. Systematic review of the limited evidence base for treatments of Eustachian tube dysfunction: a health technology assessment. Clin Otolaryngol. Feb 2014; 39(1): 6-21. PMID 24438176
- Poe DS, Hanna BM. Balloon dilation of the cartilaginous portion of the eustachian tube: initial safety and feasibility analysis in a cadaver model. Am J Otolaryngol. Mar-Apr 2011; 32(2): 115-23. PMID 20392533
- 5. Schroder S, Lehmann M, Ebmeyer J, et al. Balloon Eustachian tuboplasty: a retrospective cohort study. Clin Otolaryngol. Dec 2015; 40(6): 629-38. PMID 25867023
- Froehlich MH, Le PT, Nguyen SA, et al. Eustachian Tube Balloon Dilation: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Treatment Outcomes. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. Nov 2020; 163(5): 870-882. PMID 32482125
- Aboueisha MA, Attia AS, McCoul ED, et al. Efficacy and safety of balloon dilation of eustachian tube in children: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol. Mar 2022; 154: 111048. PMID 35085875
- Poe D, Anand V, Dean M, et al. Balloon dilation of the eustachian tube for dilatory dysfunction: A randomized controlled trial. Laryngoscope. May 2018; 128(5): 1200-1206. PMID 28940574
- Meyer TA, O'Malley EM, Schlosser RJ, et al. A Randomized Controlled Trial of Balloon Dilation as a Treatment for Persistent Eustachian Tube Dysfunction With 1-Year Follow-Up. Otol Neurotol. Aug 2018; 39(7): 894-902. PMID 29912819
- 10. Anand V, Poe D, Dean M, et al. Balloon Dilation of the Eustachian Tube: 12-Month Followup of the Randomized Controlled Trial Treatment Group. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. Apr 2019; 160(4): 687-694. PMID 30620688
- Cutler JL, Meyer TA, Nguyen SA, et al. Long-term Outcomes of Balloon Dilation for Persistent Eustachian Tube Dysfunction. Otol Neurotol. Dec 2019; 40(10): 1322-1325. PMID 31385858
- 12. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Balloon dilation for chronic eustachian tube dysfunction. Interventional procedures guidance [IPG665]. December 2019. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg665. Accessed August 1, 2024.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Interventional procedure overview of balloon dilation for chronic eustachian tube dysfunction. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg665/documents/overview-2 December 2019. Accessed August 1, 2024.

## **OTHER REFERENCES**

1. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas Otolaryngology Liaison Committee July 2021.